The Central Appalachian Partnership (CAP) for Carbon Sequestration

October 4, 2023

Kristin Carter, PG, CPG Pennsylvania Geological Survey, Pittsburgh, PA





Outline

- Project goals
- Current state of knowledge
- Project scope of work
- Project leadership
- Tentative schedule and next steps



CAP Project Goals

- Apply the existing expertise of PAGS and WVGES with respect to oil, gas and subsurface geology conditions in the Appalachian basin
- Build on current collaborative relationships and the regional knowledge base from two decades of regional characterization efforts
- Frame and focus efforts to address data needs not currently being tackled through other proposed efforts for the greater Appalachian region
- Aggregate the most pertinent geologic and geospatial datasets to construct a free, public-facing Web-Based Tool with information needed to inform UIC injection permitting efforts





Subsurface geology for carbon storage along the Ohio River in part of the Midwest Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership region From Dinterman et al. (2020)



super-critical phase (with variation). Below this depth, natural pressures beneath the as part of an integrated carbon capture and storage project (Gupta et al., 2013). Eastward in the Appalachian Basin, where Cambrian and Ordovician horizons are more than 10,000 ft beneath the surface, shallower reservoirs in Silurian and younger allowing for maximum storage capacity. This depth should also allow CO., to be miscible

17 on the section) at the Mountaineer power plant (Bacon et al., 2007; 2009). An

extensive, testing program was conducted and 40,785 short tons of CO2 were injected

confining zone, or (4) organic-rich shale. Units are color-coded at depths greater than

2,600 ft, which is a conservative estimate of the depth needed for CO₂ to be in a dense

surface should be great enough to cause CO, to dramatically decrease in volume

(Boswell et al., 1996a), Bradford (Boswell et al., 1996b), and Elk (Donaldson et al. 1996). In the future, CO, could be used for secondary recovery in some of these fields

Oil Field Case Studies (2019)

GEOLOGIC

SURVE)

ENNSYLMME



Drillers' Sand Name	Top Formation (ft)			Thickness (ft)		Porosity (%)		
	Min	Max	Avg	Gross	Net	Density	Neutron	Average
Hundred- Foot	1813	3306	2563	81	55	11	6	9
Gantz	1813	3306	2633	18	11	14	6	10
Fifty-Foot	1839	3342	2591	60	48	7	6	7
Nineveh	1968	3395	2708	39	23	12	7	10
Gordon Stray	2018	3451	2745	13	7	9	9	9
Gordon	2050	3482	2792	43	30	11	7	9
Fourth	2116	3555	2896	26	16	8	6	7
Fifth	2175	3611	2979	40	29	11	6	9



The Oriskany Sandstone Natural Gas Plays



GEOLA



Kostelnik and Carter (2009)

Summary of Existing Data/Knowledge

GEOLA

SURVE

NNSYL

		Sample Studies					
	Allegheny	Beaver	Fayette	Greene	Washington	Westmoreland	Statewide
Devonian Sands							
Venango	1,222	117	3,358	2,425	3,866	6,792	15
Bradford	259	99	1,401	1,460	1,548	2,125	153
Brallier	56	97	314	667	943	290	21
Marcellus	5	60	269	100	121	259	2
Huntersville	3	59	229	61	77	204	0
Oriskany	3	59	195	42	62	196	0
Medina	0	28	6	10	6	4	1
Trenton/BR	0	0	0	1	3	0	0
Gatesburg/RR	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Project Scope of Work

- 1.0 Project management and planning
- 2.0 Societal consideration and impacts (SCI) assessment and community benefits portfolio plans
- 3.0 Data crosswalk and conceptual geologic model development
- 4.0 Strategic data acquisition for key geologic samples
- 5.0 Web-based tool for geologic resource visualization and data dissemination
- 6.0 Technology transfer and public outreach







UIC Class II UIC injection formation

GEOLOGICA

Project Leadership





GEOLOGI



Tentative Schedule and Next Steps

- Contract documents, subcontracts, legal review and fiscal processing
- Updated Project Management Plan (within 30 days)
- SCI and Community Benefits Package (within 90 days)
- Student internship logistics
- Rock core and cuttings storage facility (funded by DCNR)







Thank you!

Kris Carter krcarter@pa.gov 412-442-4234

Michele Cooney miccooney@pa.gov 412-442-4230